

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment
Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE ESTATE (SUCCESSION) OF DORIS
IGOIN, LAURENCE APFELBAUM,
individually and in her capacities as executor and
beneficiary of the Estate (Succession) of Doris
Igoi, and EMILIE APFELBAUM,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-04336 (SMB)

**ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND
RULES 2002 AND 9019 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE
APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG THE TRUSTEE
AND LAURENCE APFELBAUM AND EMILIE APFELBAUM**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)¹ of Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), as trustee for the liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa-III, and the substantively consolidated chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff, seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 105(a) of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*, and Rules 2002 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, approving the agreements by and between the Trustee on the one hand, and Laurence Apfelbaum and Emilie Apfelbaum, on the other hand, and as more particularly set forth in the agreement annexed as Exhibit A to the Motion (the “Agreement”) (ECF No. 182); and it appearing that due and sufficient notice has been given to all parties in interest as required by Rule 2002 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; and the Court having considered the Affidavit of Irving H. Picard in support of the Motion; and it further appearing the relief sought in the Motion is appropriate based upon the Court’s consideration of the Motion; and the Trustee having filed a certificate of no objection representing that no objection, responsive pleading, or request for a hearing with respect to the Motion having been made; and it further appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and after due deliberation; and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is

~~ORDERED, that the Motion is granted; and it is further~~ [SMB: 6/23/17]

ORDERED, that the Agreement between the Trustee and the Transferees is
hereby approved and authorized; and it is further

¹ All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

ORDERED, that each of the Trustee and the Transferees shall comply with and carry out the terms of the Agreements; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: New York, New York

June 23rd, 2017

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN
HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE